

**MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE
HELD IN THE MEETING ROOM, THE OLD MINING COLLEGE CENTRE, QUEEN STREET,
BURNTWOOD ON MONDAY 14 OCTOBER 2024 COMMENCING AT 6.00 PM**

PRESENT

Councillor Flanagan (in the Chair)
Councillors Bacon, Bullock, Hancox, P Taylor, S Taylor, and Willis-Croft

In attendance

Ms Minor, Deputy Clerk

PUBLIC FORUM

No questions were raised by members of the public.

25. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Councillor D Ennis (Attending an event on behalf of the Council)
Councillor L Ennis (Civic Commitments)
Councillor Gittings (Unwell)
Councillor Holdsworth (Work Commitments)

The reasons for the apologies were approved.

26. GENERAL DECLARATIONS OF INTERESTS AND DISPENSATIONS

The District Councillors present wished it to be recorded that their views were a preliminary view and one they may change when they hear all the evidence at the District Council's Planning Committee.

27. MINUTES

The Chair reminded Members that they were being asked to comment solely on the accuracy of the Minutes.

It was proposed by Councillor P Taylor and seconded by Councillor Bullock and following a unanimous show of hands it was

RESOLVED That the Minutes of the Planning and Development Committee Meeting held on 16 September 2024 (Minute Nos. 19-24) be approved as a correct record.

28. INFORMATION FROM THE MINUTES

**PLANNING APPLICATION 24/00762/OUTM
FORMER SITE OF BRIDGE CROSS GARAGE, CANNOCK ROAD
OUTLINE PLANNING PERMISSION (ALL MATTERS RESERVED EXCEPT LANDSCAPING) FOR
THE ERECTION OF THREE STOREY, 72 BED DEMENTIA CARE HOME WITH COMMUNITY HUB**

ROOM, AND ASSOCIATED CAR PARKING/ACCESS ARRANGEMENTS (LANDSCAPING MATTERS TO BE RESERVED)

Councillor Flanagan confirmed that the application had been heard by Lichfield District Council's Planning Committee held on Monday 30 September 2024. At the Committee Meeting, there was a move to refuse the application put forward by Councillor Evans, which was not seconded. There was a move to recommend approval in line with the Planning Officer's recommendations in the Committee Report, and 10 of the 11 Councillors voted in favour of this motion, meaning the application was approved at Committee. The District Council must now negotiate the \$106 agreement as per the recommended Heads of Terms in the Committee Report, before issuing the decision. The time has been extended on the application until 30 December 2024 to allow this to happen.

Councillor Flanagan explained that he was annoyed at the decision as the Planning and Development Committee had objected to the application, as it is contrary to the Burntwood Neighbourhood Plan voted for by the residents of Burntwood. He was saddened that the Burntwood Neighbourhood Plan had been ignored and found it deplorable that a Councillor living outside the parish had voted based on the application being better for Burntwood than the earlier version rather than understanding the people of Burntwood who had chosen to have this land designated for retail development. Councillor Flanagan felt that Burntwood residents again had been ignored and explained approval of the application will divide the area outlined in the Burntwood Neighbourhood Plan for retail development and will therefore make future coherent development highly unlikely.

The Committee wholeheartedly **agreed** with the comments made by Councillor Flanagan.

29. PLANNING APPLICATIONS

RESOLVED That the following comments be submitted to the Local Planning Authority:

- | | | | | |
|-----|---------------|-----------|---|---|
| (a) | 24/00977/PNHF | Chasetown | Mr Mason
217 Brownhills Road
Norton Canes | Prior Notification
(Additional Storey):
Creation of a first-floor
extension to form 4
bedrooms, en-suite,
and bathroom |
|-----|---------------|-----------|---|---|

OBJECTION based on this being overdevelopment in the Green Belt. The proposed creation of a first-floor extension would represent a substantial increase in the volume over and above that of the original building, such that it would constitute a disproportionate addition.

Referring to planning application 21/01514/FUH – single storey front and rear extension and erection of garage to site, which was withdrawn on 13 October 2021, Members questioned whether appropriate planning permission had been granted for the four-car garage and asked for clarification.

- | | | | | |
|-----|--------------|-----------|---|---|
| (b) | 24/00836/FUL | Chasetown | Mr Simner
Chasewater Activity Centre
Pool Lane
Burntwood | Placement of three
external containers |
|-----|--------------|-----------|---|---|

No objection in principle, however, the Local Planning Authority to ensure that the proposal meets Green Belt criteria and also the requirements of the Senior Policy and Strategy Officer (Arboriculture).

- | | | | | |
|-----|--------------|---------|--|---|
| (c) | 24/01054/FUH | Hunslet | Mr Shokar
37 Boulton Close
Burntwood | Erection of a first-floor extension over existing garage to form a bedroom and en-suite |
|-----|--------------|---------|--|---|

No objection.

- | | | | | |
|-----|--------------|---------|---|--|
| (d) | 24/00774/FUH | Hunslet | Mr Varraich
65 Hunslet Road
Burntwood | Erection of a single storey rear extension, first floor side extension and installation of a front porch |
|-----|--------------|---------|---|--|

No objection.

30. STREET NAMING – LAND REAR OF 11 AND 11A BRIDGE CROSS ROAD

As all Members of the Town Council receive the Planning and Development Committee Agendas, Councillor Flanagan confirmed that Councillor Woodward felt that the suggestion of Severns Mews/Croft, which had been suggested by the developer, confuses the river name, Severn, with the former nightclub i.e. Sevens. She felt that the former might be confused with Severn Drive in the Highfield Ward and the name of the nightclub is fading into history so she thought that Sankey Mews is more appropriate. In the absence of Councillor D Ennis due to Civic Commitments, Councillor Flanagan explained that Councillor D Ennis had suggested Sevens Grove.

Councillor S Taylor stated that she would prefer to see the word Croft instead of Mews as she felt that the word Mews represented terraced houses and these were detached dwellings.

RESOLVED That Sevens Croft be the suggested name for the development currently underway on land rear of 11 and 11A Bridge Cross Road.

31. BURRT UPDATE

RESOLVED That the report of activities be noted.

[The Meeting closed at 6.22 pm]

Signed

Date